



ELSEVIER

Available online at [www.sciencedirect.com](http://www.sciencedirect.com)

SCIENCE @ DIRECT®

Journal of Approximation Theory 134 (2005) 171–174

JOURNAL OF  
Approximation  
Theory

[www.elsevier.com/locate/jat](http://www.elsevier.com/locate/jat)

# An asymptotic expansion for the error in a linear map that reproduces polynomials of a certain order

Carl de Boor

*Department of Computer Sciences, University of Wisconsin-Madison, 1210 W. Dayton St., Madison WI 53706, USA*

Received 17 December 2003; accepted 16 February 2005

Communicated by Amos Ron  
Available online 18 April 2005

---

## Abstract

Han's 'multinode higher-order expansion' in [H] is shown to be a special case of an asymptotic error expansion available for any bounded linear map on  $C([a..b])$  that reproduces polynomials of a certain order. The key is the formula for the divided difference at a sequence containing just two distinct points.

© 2005 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

*Keywords:* Asymptotic error expansion; Polynomial reproduction; Divided difference

---

In [H], Han shows that, for linear maps on  $C([a..b])$  of the form  $L : f \mapsto \sum_i \varphi_i f(x_i)$  that reproduce polynomials of degree  $\leq m$ , and for a specific choice of coefficients  $a_j$ , independent of  $L$  and  $f$  but depending on  $m$  and  $r$ , the following asymptotic error expansion

$$f(x) = Lf(x) + \sum_{j=0}^r \frac{a_j}{j!} L \left( (x - \cdot)^j D^j f \right) (x) + E(f, x)$$

holds, with  $E(f, x)$  explicitly given as an integral involving  $D^{m+r+1} f$ . Since, for his particular choice of  $L$ , the sum involves the derivatives of  $f$  at the points or nodes  $x_i$  associated with  $L$ , Han thinks of this as a 'multinode' expansion for  $f$ .

---

*E-mail address:* [deboor@cs.wisc.edu](mailto:deboor@cs.wisc.edu).

It is the purpose of this note to point out that this asymptotic error expansion, properly interpreted, holds for any bounded linear map  $L$  on  $C([a \dots b])$ , with the same formula for  $E(f, x)$ . The key is the formula for the divided difference at a sequence containing just two distinct points.

It is easy to verify, for example by induction on  $r$  and  $m$ , particularly for the special case  $x = 0, y = 1$ , that, for any  $x \neq y$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & (-1)^{m+1}(y-x)^{r+m+1} \Delta(x^{[r+1]}, y^{[m+1]}) \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^r \binom{m+r-j}{r-j} (y-x)^j \Delta(x^{[j+1]}) - \sum_{k=0}^m \binom{r+m-k}{m-k} (x-y)^k \Delta(y^{[k+1]}), \end{aligned}$$

with  $\Delta(x^{[r+1]}, y^{[m+1]})$  denoting the divided difference at the point sequence that contains  $x$  exactly  $r + 1$  times and  $y$  exactly  $m + 1$  times.

The Peano kernel for the divided difference  $\Delta(t_0, \dots, t_n)$  at the sequence  $(t_0, \dots, t_n)$  is well-known to be the B-spline with knot sequence  $(t_0, \dots, t_n)$  that is normalized to integrate to  $1/n!$ , hence (cf. (5) below), for arbitrary  $x$  and  $y$ ,

$$(y-x)^{r+m+1} \Delta(x^{[r+1]}, y^{[m+1]})f = \int_x^y \llbracket t-x \rrbracket^m \llbracket y-t \rrbracket^r D^{r+m+1} f(t) dt,$$

with

$$\llbracket s \rrbracket^n := s^n/n!$$

a handy notation for the normalized power.

Consequently, for any smooth  $f$  and any  $x$  and  $y$ , and using the fact that  $\Delta(z^{[n+1]})f = D^n f(z)/n!$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & - \int_x^y \llbracket x-t \rrbracket^m \llbracket y-t \rrbracket^r D^{r+m+1} f(t) dt \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^r \binom{m+r-j}{r-j} \llbracket y-x \rrbracket^j D^j f(x) - \sum_{k=0}^m \binom{r+m-k}{m-k} \llbracket x-y \rrbracket^k D^k f(y). \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

If now  $L$  is any bounded linear map on  $C([a \dots b])$  that reproduces polynomials of degree  $\leq m$ , then, on applying  $1 - L$  to both sides of (1) as functions of  $x$ , we find, for arbitrary  $y$ , that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_a^b (1-L)(\llbracket \cdot - t \rrbracket_+^m)(x) \llbracket y-t \rrbracket^r D^{r+m+1} f(t) dt \\ &= \binom{m+r}{m} (f - Lf)(x) + (1-L) \left( \sum_{j=1}^r \binom{m+r-j}{r-j} \llbracket y-\cdot \rrbracket^j D^j f \right) (x), \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

using the facts that (i) the second sum on the right of (1) is a polynomial of degree  $\leq m$  in  $x$ , hence is annihilated by  $1 - L$ ; that (ii) for any (integrable)  $g$  and any  $x, y \in [a \dots b]$ ,

$$- \int_x^y g(t) dt = \int_a^b ((x-t)_+^0 - (y-t)_+^0)g(t) dt$$

(with  $z_+$  equal to  $z$  for positive  $z$  and 0 otherwise), hence

$$\begin{aligned} & - \int_x^y \llbracket x - t \rrbracket^m \llbracket y - t \rrbracket^r g(t) dt \\ & = \int_a^b (\llbracket (x - t)_+ \rrbracket^m \llbracket y - t \rrbracket^r - \llbracket x - t \rrbracket^m \llbracket (y - t)_+ \rrbracket^r) g(t) dt, \end{aligned}$$

while (iii)  $\llbracket x - t \rrbracket^m \llbracket (y - t)_+ \rrbracket^r$  is of degree  $\leq m$  in  $x$ , hence annihilated by  $1 - L$ . Now notice that  $\llbracket y - x \rrbracket^j = 0$  for  $y = x$  and  $j > 0$ . So, after setting  $y = x$  in (2), we can (and will) replace  $(1 - L)$  on the right by  $-L$ , then divide both sides by  $\binom{m+r}{m}$  and rearrange to arrive at the sought-for expansion

$$f(x) - Lf(x) = \sum_{j=1}^r \frac{\binom{m+r-j}{r-j}}{\binom{m+r}{m}} L \left( \llbracket x - \cdot \rrbracket^j D^j f \right) (x) + E(f, x), \tag{3}$$

with

$$E(f, x) := \int_a^b (1 - L) \left( (\cdot - t)_+^m \right) (x) (x - t)^r D^{m+r+1} f(t) dt / (m + r)!, \tag{4}$$

in which  $\binom{m+r-j}{r-j} / \binom{m+r}{m}$  could be rewritten as  $\frac{r!(m+r-j)!}{(m+r)!(r-j)!}$ . Thus, when  $L$  takes the particular form  $Lf := \sum_i \varphi_i f(x_i)$  for some functions  $\varphi_i$  and some points  $x_i$  in  $[a..b]$ , we now have in hand Theorem 2 of [H].

As a check, for  $L : f \mapsto f(a)$ , hence  $m = 0$ , we obtain

$$f(x) - f(a) = \sum_{j=1}^r \llbracket x - a \rrbracket^j D^j f(a) + \int_a^b (x - t)_+^r D^{r+1} f(t) dt / r!,$$

i.e., the truncated Taylor series with integral remainder.

Consider now the error  $E(f, x)$  in the asymptotic error expansion (3) for general  $L$ .

To be sure, (4) is correct offhand only for  $m > 0$ . Even when  $m = 0$ , it is correct in Han’s context, i.e., when  $L$  is of the form  $f \mapsto \sum_i \varphi_i f(x_i)$ . For more general  $L$ ,  $t \mapsto (L(\cdot - t)_+^0)(x)$  is not defined (since  $L(\cdot - t)_+^0$  is not defined) and so must be interpreted properly, namely as the function  $k(x, \cdot)$  of bounded variation that vanishes at  $b$  and represents the linear functional  $\lambda : g \mapsto -(L \int_a^{\cdot} g(t) dt)(x)$  in the sense that  $\lambda f = \int f dk(x, \cdot)$  for all  $f \in C([a..b])$ , with the existence of such  $k(x, \cdot)$  guaranteed by the Riesz Representation Theorem.

With that concern laid to rest, assume that  $f \in C^{(r+m+1)}([a..b])$  and that, for a given  $x \in [a..b]$ ,

$$[a..b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R} : t \mapsto (1 - L) \left( (\cdot - t)_+^m \right) (x)$$

is of one sign (as it is, for any  $x \in [a..b]$ , when  $Lf$  is the Bernstein polynomial for  $f$ , or the Lagrange polynomial interpolant). Then (see (4)) the Peano kernel for  $E(\cdot, x)$  is of one sign on  $[a..x]$  and on  $[x..b]$ . Correspondingly,

$$\begin{aligned} E(f, x) &= c_1(x) D^{m+r+1} f(\xi_1) + c_2(x) D^{m+r+1} f(\xi_2), \\ &\text{some } \xi_1 \in [a..x], \xi_2 \in [x..b], \end{aligned}$$

with

$$c_1(x) := E((-1)^{m+r+1} \llbracket (x - \cdot)_+ \rrbracket^{m+r+1}, x) \quad \text{and}$$

$$c_2(x) := E(\llbracket (\cdot - x)_+ \rrbracket^{m+r+1}, x)$$

readily computable by retracing the steps that brought us to (3) but choosing, specifically,  $f = (-1)^{m+r+1} \llbracket (x - \cdot)_+ \rrbracket^{m+r+1}$ , i.e.,  $D^{m+r+1} f = (x - \cdot)_+^0$ , to get  $c_1(x)$  and choosing  $f = \llbracket (\cdot - x)_+ \rrbracket^{m+r+1}$ , i.e.,  $D^{m+r+1} f = (\cdot - x)_+^0$ , to get  $c_2(x)$ . For this, we note that

$$- \int_x^y \llbracket x - t \rrbracket^m \llbracket y - t \rrbracket^r dt = (-1)^{m+1} \llbracket y - x \rrbracket^{m+r+1}, \tag{5}$$

for arbitrary  $x$  and  $y$ , hence, e.g.,

$$- \int_x^y \llbracket x - t \rrbracket^m \llbracket y - t \rrbracket^r (x - t)_+^0 dt = (-1)^{m+1} (x - y)_+^0 \llbracket y - x \rrbracket^{m+r+1}.$$

Recalling that we obtained from this the corresponding error term by applying  $1 - L$  to it as a function of  $x$ , then setting  $y = x$  and dividing by  $\binom{m+r}{m}$ , we get

$$c_1(x) = (-1)^{m+1} (1 - L)(\llbracket (x - \cdot)_+ \rrbracket^{m+r+1})(x) / \binom{m+r}{m}$$

$$= (-1)^m L(\llbracket (x - \cdot)_+ \rrbracket^{m+r+1})(x) / \binom{m+r}{m}.$$

In the same way, we find that

$$c_2(x) = (-1)^m L(\llbracket (x - \cdot)_- \rrbracket^{m+r+1})(x) / \binom{m+r}{m}.$$

If now  $r$  is even, then  $c_1(x)$  and  $c_2(x)$  are of the same sign and, in that case,

$$E(f, x) = c(x) D^{m+r+1} f(\xi) \quad \text{some } \xi \in [a \dots b],$$

with

$$c(x) := c_1(x) + c_2(x) = E(\llbracket \cdot \rrbracket^{m+r+1}, x) = (-1)^m L(\llbracket x - \cdot \rrbracket^{m+r+1})(x) / \binom{m+r}{m}.$$

Thus, when  $L$  takes the particular form  $Lf := \sum_i \varphi_i f(x_i)$  for some functions  $\varphi_i$  and some points  $x_i$  in  $[a \dots b]$ , we now have in hand Theorem 3 of [H].

**References**

[H] X. Han, Multinode higher order expansions of a function, J. Approx. Theory 124 (2) (2003) 242–253.